



2. The discussion was the key to the success of many of the talks. I enjoyed how many of the sessions become almost moderated discussion topics rather than one-way presentatons. It allowed me to get many differnt opinions and insights. Wed, Aug 11, 2010 8:08 AM [Find...](#)

---

3. There seemed to be a short-coming in demonstrating value-add metrics in some of the presentations. This was my first Metricon and I was probably expecting more thorough presentations and a higher level of understanding of risk management across the group as a whole. Regardless - it was still worthwhile and gives me an additional input parameter for benchmarking my employer's capabilities - from a metrics perspective. Great job! Tue, Aug 10, 2010 10:40 PM [Find...](#)

---

4. All of the presentations were informative and useful. I extended the ratings to show my clear favorites. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 9:39 PM [Find...](#)

---

5. John S Quarterman's presentation at first was not of interest to me until I started to understand what the research was trying to do. I tend to be like Richard "meat and potatos" focused. Because of this awesome gathering I have a greater appreciateion for what he and betsy are tring to say. Betsy has a great story but punching the delivery needs work! I appreciate her resilience. - I really really appreciated all the feed back I recieved! more when we meet for lunch! Tue, Aug 10, 2010 8:15 PM [Find...](#)

---

6. Seemed to have a lot of Vulnerability focused metrics/presentations. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 4:45 PM [Find...](#)

**answered question**      **24**  
**skipped question**      **0**

## 2. Please tell us what you liked about Metricon 5 overall.

[Create Chart](#)    [Download](#)

	Strong dislike/suboptimal	Do differently next time	Neutral	Good	Just about perfect	Rating Average	Response Count
<b>Length of sessions</b>	0.0% (0)	16.7% (4)	0.0% (0)	<b>54.2% (13)</b>	29.2% (7)	3.96	24
<b>Group interaction</b>	0.0% (0)	12.5% (3)	8.3% (2)	37.5% (9)	<b>41.7% (10)</b>	4.08	24
<b>Length of breaks</b>	0.0% (0)	4.2% (1)	16.7% (4)	<b>50.0% (12)</b>	29.2% (7)	4.04	24
<b>Venue food and logistics</b>	0.0% (0)	8.3% (2)	12.5% (3)	<b>45.8% (11)</b>	33.3% (8)	4.04	24
<b>Quality of audience</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>54.2% (13)</b>	45.8% (11)	4.46	24
 <a href="#">Hide replies</a> Any other comments							11

1. good state-of-practice feeling. Sat, Aug 14, 2010 7:23 AM [Find...](#)

---

2. Wish we had more time for some speakers... Thu, Aug 12, 2010 8:29 PM [Find...](#)

---

3. Maybe slightly longer sessions next time? Thu, Aug 12, 2010 8:55 AM [Find...](#)

---

4. handout for lunch discussion would have been helpful with leader identified. maybe even a seed question or two for each. Wed, Aug 11, 2010 2:28 PM [Find...](#)

---

5. Breaks may have been shortened in the afternoon Wed, Aug 11, 2010 10:23 AM [Find...](#)

---

6. I liked the 30 min talks very much. It worked especally well when the speakers knew about the time and got to their main points. Wed, Aug 11, 2010 8:08 AM [Find...](#)

---

7. Some of the presentations were rushed. Consider branching in future events and allow 45 minute presentations. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 10:40 PM [Find...](#)

---

8. All of the presenters ran long which limited any discussion time. Maybe consider allotting 45 minutes or having a designated discussion time later as part of the rump session.  
  
The lunch was crowded and too mingled to really have breakout sessions. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 9:39 PM [Find...](#)

---

9. Andrew, this was my first time and I'm so looking forward to being on the "forum" as the individuals I interacted with were top notch! please add me to what ever mailing list I need to be on!!!! Tue, Aug 10, 2010 8:15 PM [Find...](#)

---

10. One less preso, give people more time.  
  
More roundtable. Lunch wasn't enough. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 4:58 PM [Find...](#)

11. Seemed like several presentations had to be rushed a bit. Maybe 45 min would be better. Not sure. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 4:45 PM [Find...](#)

25 responses per page

**answered question**     **24**  
**skipped question**     **0**

**3. How strongly would you recommend Metricon to a colleague?**

[Create Chart](#)     [Download](#)

	1 - Strongly recommend against	2	3	4	5 - Neutral	6	7	8	9	10 - Strongly recommend; must-attend	Rating Average	Response Count
<b>Would recommend Metricon</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.2% (1)	0.0% (0)	29.2% (7)	29.2% (7)	<b>37.5% (9)</b>	8.96	24

[Hide replies](#)     Any other comments     9

- 1. I thought the session was fantastic. Great content overall, and the attendee's really brought interesting topics and perspectives. Thu, Aug 12, 2010 8:55 AM [Find...](#)

---

- 2. Depends on the colleague. As it stands, Metricon is attractive to "metricians." This is good. Our success will be when CIOs and CISOs who have delegated their metrics programs to others beg to be let in. Wed, Aug 11, 2010 5:10 PM [Find...](#)

---

- 3. need a talk (every .0 meeting) on metrology (from nist?) Wed, Aug 11, 2010 2:28 PM [Find...](#)

---

- 4. My favorite workshop. Here is the first line of my trip report:  
"Metricon was great. This is such a good group. It's the geekiest security conference I know about. Its feels like home."  
Wed, Aug 11, 2010 8:08 AM [Find...](#)

---

- 5. I would be careful about who I recommended it to. For some colleagues - definitely. For others - probably not. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 10:40 PM [Find...](#)

---

- 6. Great job all around. I'm looking forward to 6.0 and hopefully 5.5 :-)  
Tue, Aug 10, 2010 9:39 PM [Find...](#)

---

- 7. This rocked. Plus how do I rank myself? Could you let me know what the final "ranking" was on my presentation? I really appreciated the experince and all the great feedback I got - I've (to my knowledge) been tweeted about :-)  
Tue, Aug 10, 2010 8:15 PM [Find...](#)

---

- 8. Andy, nice job. Tue, Aug 10, 2010 4:46 PM [Find...](#)

---

- 9. Well done! Tue, Aug 10, 2010 4:45 PM [Find...](#)

**answered question**     **24**  
**skipped question**     **0**